

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

ANDREA RESNICK, GARY BUNKER, JOHN
HALEY, AMY LATHAM, ERIC ROSLANSKY and
KEVIN SIMPSON, on behalf of themselves and all
others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

WALMART.COM USA LLC, WAL-MART
STORES, INC., and NETFLIX, INC.,

Defendants.

Case No. 09-0002 PJH

**[PROPOSED] ORDER
GRANTING ADMINISTRATIVE
MOTION TO CONSIDER
WHETHER CASE NO. 09-0349-
EMC SHOULD BE RELATED
PURSUANT TO CIVIL L.R. 3-12
AND 7-11**

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER
CASES SHOULD BE RELATED -09-0002 PJH

1 On January 28, 2009, Plaintiff in *Grime v. Netflix, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 09-0349 EMC,
2 filed an Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Cases Should Be Related Pursuant to Civil L.
3 R. 3-12 and 7-11. The Court, having considered the papers and pleadings on file, and good cause
4 appearing, HEREBY GRANTS the motion.

5 IT IS ORDERED that *Grime v. Netflix, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 09-0349 EMC, is hereby
6 related to *Resnick, et al. v. Walmart.com USA LLC*, Case No. 09-cv-0002 PJH.

7 *Grime v. Netflix, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 09-0349 EMC shall be reassigned to the undersigned
8 judge pursuant to Civil L. R. 3-12(f).

9
10
11 Dated _____, 2009

Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton
United State District Court Judge

12
13
14 Netflix.003
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28